

Tentative Rulings for June 30, 2026 Department 4

**To request oral argument, you must notify Judicial Secretary
Crystal Marias at (760) 904-5722
and inform all other counsel no later than 4:30 p.m.**

This court follows California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1308 (a) (1) for tentative rulings (see Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3316). Tentative Rulings for each law & motion matter are posted on the Internet by 3:00 p.m. on the court day immediately before the hearing at [Riverside Superior Court-Tentative Rulings](#). If you do not have Internet access, you may obtain the tentative ruling by telephone at (760) 904-5722.

To request oral argument, no later than 4:30 p.m. on the court day before the hearing you must (1) notify the judicial secretary for Department 4 at (760) 904-5722 and (2) inform all other parties of the request and of their need to appear remotely, as stated below. If no request for oral argument is made by 4:30 p.m., the tentative ruling **will become the final ruling** on the matter effective the date of the hearing. **UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE PREVAILING PARTY IS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE RULING.**

For information and instructions on remote appearances via **ZOOM**, visit the court's website at [Riverside Superior Court-Remote Appearances](#)

You may also make a Telephonic Appearance: On the day of the hearing, call into one of the below listed phone numbers, and input the meeting number (followed by #):

- Call-in Numbers: 1-833-568-8864 (Toll Free), 1-669-254-5252,
1-669-216-1590, 1-551-285-1373 or 1-646-828-7666
- Meeting Number: **160 533 0910**

Please **MUTE** your phone until your case is called and it is your turn to speak. It is important to note that you must call fifteen (15) minutes prior to the scheduled hearing time to check in or there may be a delay in your case being heard.

Riverside Superior Court provides official court reporters for hearings on law and motion matters only for litigants who have been granted fee waivers and only upon their timely request. (See General Administrative Order No. 2021-19-1) Other parties desiring a record of the hearing must retain a reporter pro tempore.

1.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2304076	MCWILLIAMS VS GENERAL MOTORS, LLC	MOTION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE ABANDONMENT OF CASE

Tentative Ruling:

No Tentative Ruling. Hearing required.

2.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2404392	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION VS DESERT OPHTHALMOLOGY MEDICAL CORPORATION	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM DEFENDANT WALLACE FRANKLIN GOLDBAN

Tentative Ruling:

GRANT

Defendant Wallace Franklin Goldban is ordered to provide verified responses to the Special Interrogatories propounded by Plaintiff, without objection, within 20 days.

3.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2501075	LOGGINS VS DMSI STAFFING LLC	MOTION TO STAY CASE

Tentative Ruling:

DENY

Effective January 1, 2024, CCP § 1294(a) eliminated the automatic stay of trial court proceedings that previously flowed from CCP § 916. Although CCP § 1294(a) expressly eliminated the automatic stay, the Court retains its discretion to stay such proceedings in the interests of justice. In determining whether to issue a stay, courts traditionally balance four factors: (1) whether the stay applicant made a strong showing that it is likely to succeed on the merits on appeal; (2) whether the applicant will be irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether the stay will substantially injure other parties; and (4) whether the stay is in the public interest. (*Finder v. Leprino Foods Co.*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 100417, at 13-14, citing *Nken v. Holder* 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009)).

Here, Defendant moving party has not established that it is likely to succeed on the merits of its appeal. Defendant's outright refusal to pay the initial arbitration retainer is willful nonperformance. Defendant cannot claim that their "nonpayment of fees resulted

from a good faith mistake, inadvertence, or other excusable neglect”. (See *Hohenshelt v. Superior Court* (2025) 18 Cal.5th 310, at 312.) A party’s willful nonperformance, gross negligence, or fraudulent behavior constitutes a waiver of arbitration.

Next, Defendant has not shown that it will suffer irreparable injury if litigation proceeds. Defendants’ “irreparable injury” showing is entirely conclusory and is based on the normal incidents and costs of litigation. Further, granting a stay would substantially harm Plaintiff, who has already been subjected to delays and procedural maneuvering by Defendant. Finally, the public interest here favors denying the motion because allowing Defendant to halt the litigation through an appeal of an arbitration denial would undermine the stated purpose of the senate bill that amended CCP § 1294(a), Sen. Bill No.365.

4.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2502170	LAKEVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC VS FUENTES	MOTION TO SET ASIDE DISMISSAL

Tentative Ruling:

GRANT

Dismissals of January 5, 2026, are set aside.

Matter is set for a Case Management Conference on August 5, 2026, at 8:30am, D-4.